

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/392,682	09/09/99	GRUENERT		D	480.18 4
HM12/0102 HANA VERNY (FORMERLY DOLEZALOVA) PETERS, VERNY, JONES, & BIKSA LLP 385 SHERMAN AVENUE,			٦	CUEB, B	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 6 PALO ALTO 0				DATE MAILED	01/02/8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	A 11 11 11						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summers	09/392,682	GRUENERT ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Bronwen M. Loeb	1636					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the co	rrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-16</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No      Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage.							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e).							
Attachment(s)							
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)							
16) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  17) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	19) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1636

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to the restriction election and preliminary amendment filed October 4, 2000. The preliminary amendment cancelled claims 1-7 and provided new claims 8-16, drawn to a method for in vitro homologous replacement in target cells. Thus, claims 8-16 are pending.

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1, 2, 6 and 7, in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. Group I is drawn to a method of gene therapy by small fragment homologous replacement. The claims of Group I have been cancelled and replaced with claims 8-16 which are deemed to be drawn to the same invention.

Non-elected claims 3-5 have been cancelled, rendering the restriction requirement moot.

# Sequence Compliance

2. This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825 because sequences were set forth that lack sequence identifiers however no CRF and no paper sequence was filed containing these sequences, and no attorney statement was filed. These sequences include **Figure 30A and 30B and page 27**, **lines 33 and 37**. If the Sequence Listing required for the instant application is identical to that of another application, a letter may be submitted requesting transfer of the previously filed sequence information to the instant application. For a sample letter requesting transfer of sequence information, refer to MPEP 2422.05. Additionally, it is often convenient to identify sequences in figures by amending the Brief Description of the Drawings section (see MPEP 2422.02).

Application/Control Number: 09/392,682

**Art Unit: 1636** 

Applicants are required to comply with all of the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825. Any response to this office action that fails to meet all of these requirements will be considered non-responsive. The nature of the noncompliance with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.821 through 1.825 did not preclude the continued examination of the application on the merits, the results of which are communicated below.

# Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The crossnoting of references statement, as amended, reads: "This is a divisional application of
the continuation-in-part application Serial No. 08/487,799, filed on June 7, 1995,
allowed, which is a continuation-in-part of the continuation application Ser. No.
08/408,544 filed in March 24, 1994, which is a continuation of the application Serial No.
07/933,472 filed on August 21, 1992." The statement is redundant in its use of
continuation-in-part and continuation. It would be remedial to amend the statement to
read: "This is a divisional application of Serial No. 08/487,799, filed on June 7, 1995,
now U.S. Patent No. 6,010,908, which is a continuation of Serial No. 08/408,544, filed
on March 24, 1994, abandoned, which is a continuation of the Serial No. 07/933,472,
filed on August 21, 1992, abandoned."

Figures 1, 6-8 and 30 each contain multiple panels however these individual panels are not described in the Brief Description of Drawings. Specifically, Figure 1 has panels A, B and C; Figure 6 has panels A and B; Figure 7 has panels A and B; Figure 8 has panels A and B; and Figure 30 has panels A and B.

Application/Control Number: 09/392,682

Art Unit: 1636

Appropriate correction is required.

### **Double Patenting**

4. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

5.: Claims 8-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-9 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,010,908. This is a double patenting rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 8-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 8 step (b) the phrase "generating the exon" is vague and indefinite. The specification provides no teachings regarding this and it is not a term of art. In the

Application/Control Number: 09/392,682

Art Unit: 1636

second "wherein" phrase of step (b), the phrase "a double stranded DNA generated of double stranded fragment" is vague and indefinite.

In claim 9, it is unclear what is meant by the phrase "DNA fragment sequence is identified by primers".

In claim 11, the phrase "provided that when the DNA fragment is coated with recombinase is not recA" is vague and indefinite.

#### Conclusion

Claims 8-16 are rejected.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Art Unit 1636 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)). The official fax telephone numbers for the Group are (703) 308-4242 and (703) 305-3014. NOTE: If Applicant does submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bronwen M. Loeb whose telephone number is (703) 605-1197. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. A phone message left at this number will be responded to as soon as possible (usually no later than the next business day after receipt by the examiner).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Richard Schwartz, can be reached on (703) 308-1133.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Dianiece Jacobs, Patent Analyst whose telephone number is (703) 305-3388.

Bronwen M. Loeb, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit 1636

December 29, 2000

ROBERT A. SCHWARTZMAN PRIMARY FXAMINED